



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/814,821  | 03/31/2004  | Yuichi Ichikawa      | 968.3/184           | 5312             |
| 27879 7590 04/04/2008<br>INDIANAPOLIS OFFICE: 27879<br>BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE<br>ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 1600<br>INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2033 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| CAMPOS, YAIMA   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2185  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| NOTIFICATION DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 04/04/2008  |             | ELECTRONIC           |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentofficeactions@brinkshofer.com

svesseley@usebrinks.com

dhasler@usebrinks.com

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/814,821

**Applicant(s)**

ICHIKAWA ET AL.

**Examiner**

YAIMA CAMPOS

**Art Unit**

2185

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) YAIMA CAMPOS.(3) Sanders N. Hillis (Reg. No. 45,712).(2) Sanjiv Shah.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 6-11, 13-16, 18-38.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Applet Caching in Java Plug-in" and Suzuki (US 2004/0078636).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and Examiners discussed prior art of record and proposed claim amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sanjiv Shah/  
SPE, Art Unit 2185

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required